

Serial No.: 10/651,062

REMARKS

The Examiner required a two-way restriction between product claims 50 to 65 and method claims 66 and 67. Applicants provisionally elect with traverse the first claim group, namely claims 50 to 65.

Applicants respectfully submit that examination of all claims presents no undue burden on the part of the Examiner, especially because process claims 66 and 67 each depend from claim 50, a claim within the elected group. Thus, patentability of claim 50 should result in the patentability of claims 66 and 67 as well.

The title has been changed to reflect the subject matter of claims 50 to 67.

Reconsideration of the restriction requirement and an early examination of claims 50 to 67 is earnestly solicited.

Serial No.: 10/651,062

The Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned if additional changes are required prior to examination.

Respectfully submitted,

PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P.

October 23, 2004

Date

CAW/ch

Charles A. Wendel

Charles A. Wendel

Registration No. 24,453

Attorney Docket No.:DAIN:578B

PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P.

1421 Prince Street

Suite 210

Alexandria, Virginia 22314-2805

Telephone: (703) 739-0220